Dear Dick,

Tour valuable letters of 9/30 and 10/1 arrived today, with a nice one from Roffmas you have by now seen, with enclosures I return to him today. His are valuable documents. I am satisfied the Hoover statement on when the weighing was done is (if not to his analysedge) a lie. It is not my recollection of Frazier's testimony.

There is a remarkable turallal between some of the things you says and what I was extlaining to Hanry Lipponn, the apent the past two days here.

I will be in DC Tecnesday. I expect to be able to get to the Archives. I will then order a copy of my picture you went and have it sent to you and one of R's for myself. I will better understand any comparisons then and you will have what you need as rapidly as possible and at less cost then shifting one back and forth my mail.

On the loss of base matal, I disagree to this extent (I actually agree with the conclusion): I think, from my picture, it is possible to argue the loss of a bit as much as Zum in maximum dimension.

On your request, have you forgotten that while the anno I have is military, it is not Western? Hence I cannot sent you Western.

I em in entire accord on the importance of getting Frazier in the stand. If they and done what I enticipated in N.O., what I and sought to prepare them for, this would now be scadenic, how ver, with his testinony there, any new testimony from nin with be of the highest significance. I doubt very much he will perjur himself on the stand. I do not know whether pretrial depositions are possible in such a suit. I kepe they are! They are the scuivalent of testimony, and perjury in them is no less a crime.

h ch is delaying copying the testimony until he receives "i ck's. John had not yet sent it when last " neard.

on bempsey, please use a tape recorder and just let him ramble, without effort to prevent digressions, later repeating as a test of scouracy and of his completeness of recall. Go into deiti, recent, Hall's travels, when and to where, with whom, in what kind of car, with what state of registration, what sources of the lost with which he returned, etc. Also, the errests, including Hell's 10/65 by customs, etc. I may have the picture you want. If you get a negative from Miami, have a fine led there I can send to the library of the newspaper morgue or both. Young enough to be a student, but good.

Time of back wound: About Z227 is out of place at the Archives. I have been trying since 1956 to get them to resters it from after Z21m H312 to its proper place. Z 283 or 284 is duplicated in the hearings, the second being missing entirely. At about this point there is detectable, if you look at the movies carefully, preferably in slow notion or backward or both, a short, sharp motion of the upper torse and forward. If we assume, as I think you do also and as I am confident is justified, that JFK's nervous reactions were already blocked by the shock of having been hit, there would be no visible nervous reaction, like the reaching of a hand. There is no doubt there is such a forward motion, upper part bod

anterior neck: Is there any reason to believe any of the wounds were c used by military am of I do not and never have believed this. The Commission postulates this, but you may recall in WFI go through the search for the source and its abundance at him reddeds were discovered in great quantity.

This merging Lip man raised the muestion of this wound and maked if it were not possible to determine whather it was of entry or exit by tests. I told him tissue should have been removed from both holes for such testing. The description have him is less complete then yours, but I did tell him there should be burning or searing on the entry hole, readily seen under the microscope. I then added that while the paral report or the proctocol may attribute such removed tissue fix to the rest, it could have been from the front. This, of course, is just speculation and presumes real dishonsary, not simple error. Before the exemination was completed, they knew of 399 and it has inclumbent upon them to remove tissue from both front and rear non-fatals for such testing. This may well have been after the pictures were made. I am inclined to believe they did all such things only after the pix were taken, for they were, for the most part, taken in ediately. I'd believe all extension shots were taken to fore a knife was used, with organs, etc., during the exemination.

Sprague's pictures: sounds like the ones I have. There is an additional one in the hearings of this sequence. Except for the size, I agree with your belief it is brein, but inflat, and tried without success to disence in on this. However, that location is other than the one psecified in the rai reports in RM on the marger find. I have asked Gary to chick this in walls if he can get marper and get him to talk. That identification, as I recall it, was 25 ft. south of 2515. I lack the knowledge to dispute your belief the fragment had to go in the direction of the bullat, but it appears the piece of skull did not and I seem to recall contrary opinion from Finck in his testimony. On this and the bruising of the upper clost I can find no confirmation in Z. At the same time, I can offer no contrary explanation. Remember, however, asp. in assessing Ecclelland's test., that the slit we transverse. erry talk me of talk ith some pride.

Now that I know you are an artist (as your fine skatches should have told me earlier), let me tall you what I wanted to get done with Z199-205. I want to make tradings of Willist outlines, especially the erms and legs, incorfer as acceible. I think the best key could be to make allies and project them. I'd like them in different colors and with different solid and broken lines, so they can be superimposed (as on tracing paper) and photographed separately also. My purpose is to better illustrate what I say in WAII, that he had taken his picture by Z202 and had begun to welk away. If you make alides, I'd appreciate a duplicate set so I can get another artist to do the same thing, for in some areas there will be a lack of clarity and It will be more persuasive if two independently come up with the same thing. It will also tend to show error, the possibility of which may be high.

I hope you approve my letter to Rofiman. If he has begun to cuestion his own conclusions, this is a good time for him to test them against evidence of which he is not aware. Do not worry about money, etc, for our situation is so bad a little worse is unfelt. Basides, you should deduct the cost of the slides, etc.

He time for more. Went to make outsoing mail. I want to return R's staff the day of receit, which I believe he will take as a sign of intent, also be invitation. I presume you told him our work confirms hoover on that particular dent. I li let him duplicate here is he doubts. Many thanks. This exhange of ileas is very helpful, even in the areas of minor disagreement.